

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/707,506	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOLSTEN, STUART V.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Theresa T. Snider	<b>Art Unit</b> 1744	

**All Participants:**

(1) Theresa T. Snider.

(2) Monte R. Rhodes.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 June 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-12 and 15-20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Rhodes of allowability of claims 1-12 and 15-20. Mr. Rhodes agreed to specification change, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to correct a grammatical error. Mr. Rhodes agreed to cancel claim 3 because it is redundant of line 13 of amended claim 1. Mr. Rhodes agreed to insert 'a wall' in the appropriate locations in the claims, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to clarify that the opposing sides are on a single wall, as opposed to the 'opposing sides' being two different walls (ie, left and right walls). Mr. Rhodes correlated claims with respect to the first and second sides so they are all consistent. Corresponding to the specification, 1st side refers to the bottom side #140 and 2nd side refers to the upper side #142.